arXiv:1403.7688v1 [math.DS] 30 Mar 2014

Integrability of holonomy cocycle for singular holomorphic foliations

Viêt-Anh Nguyên

April 1, 2014

Abstract

We study the holonomy cocycle associated with a holomorphic Riemann surface foliation with a finite number of linearizable singularities. By accelerating the leafwise Poincaré metric near the singularities, we show that the holonomy cocycle is integrable with respect to each harmonic probability measure directed by the foliation. As an application we establish the existence of Lyapunov exponents as well as an Oseledec decomposition for this cocycle.

Classification AMS 2010: Primary: 37A30, 57R30; Secondary: 58J35, 58J65, 60J65.
Keywords: holomorphic foliation, linearizable singularity, Poincaré metric, holonomy cocycle, harmonic measure, Lyapunov exponents.

1 Introduction

The dynamical and geometrical theory of holomorphic foliations has received much attention in the past few years. The holonomy cocycle (or equivalently, the normal derivative cocycle) of a foliation is a very important object which reflects dynamical, topological as well as geometrical and analytic aspects of the foliation. Exploring this object allows us to understand more about the foliation itself.

In this paper we investigate the holonomy cocycle of a singular holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$ by hyperbolic Riemann surfaces in a Hermitian compact complex manifold of arbitrary dimension. Under some reasonable assumption on $\mathscr{F}$ and by accelerating the leafwise Poincaré metric near the singularities while keeping the metric complete and of bounded geometry, we show that the holonomy cocycle is integrable with respect to each harmonic probability measure directed by the foliation. We also show an evidence that this acceleration is necessary to

ensure the integrability. As a by-product we establish the existence of Lyapunov exponents as well as an Oseledec decomposition for this cocycle.

There are two main sources of examples of holomorphic foliations by Riemann surfaces. The first one consists of holomorphic foliations by curves in the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^k$ (in which case there are always singularities) or in algebraic manifolds. The foliations of the second source are those obtained by the process of suspension. For a recent account on the subject as well as numerous illuminating examples the reader is invited to consult the survey articles by Fornæss-Sibony [15], Ghys [19], Hurder [20] and textbooks [5, 6, 29]. In the subsequent subsections we lay out the set-up of our problem. This preparation enable us to state the main result at the end of this section.

1.1 Foliations, singularities and Poincaré metric

Let M be a complex manifold of dimension k. A *holomorphic foliation by Riemann surfaces* $(M, \mathscr{F})$ on M is the data of a *foliation atlas* with charts

$$\Phi_i : \mathbb{U}_i \to \mathbb{B}_i \times \mathbb{T}_i.$$

Here, $\mathbb{T}_i$ is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, $\mathbb{B}_i$ is a domain in $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{U}_i$ is a domain in M, and Φ_i is biholomorphic, and all the changes of coordinates $\Phi_i \circ \Phi_j^{-1}$ are of the form

$$(x, t) \mapsto (x', t'), \quad x' = \Psi(x, t), \quad t' = \Lambda(t).$$

The open set $\mathbb{U}_i$ is called a *flow box* and the Riemann surface $\Phi_i^{-1}\{t = c\}$ in $\mathbb{U}_i$ with $c \in \mathbb{T}_i$ is a *plaque*. The property of the above coordinate changes insures that the plaques in different flow boxes are compatible in the intersection of the boxes. Two plaques are *adjacent* if they have non-empty intersection.

A *leaf* L is a minimal connected subset of M such that if L intersects a plaque, it contains that plaque. So a leaf L is a Riemann surface immersed in M which is a union of plaques. A leaf through a point x of this foliation is often denoted by L_x. A *transversal* is a complex submanifold of X which is transverse to the leaves of $\mathscr{F}$.

A *holomorphic foliation by Riemann surfaces with singularities* is the data $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$, where M is a complex manifold, E a closed subset of M and $(M \setminus E, \mathscr{F})$ is a holomorphic foliation by Riemann surfaces. Each point in E is said to be a *singular point,* and E is said to be *the set of singularities* of the foliation. We always assume that $\overline{M \setminus E} = M$, see e.g. [11, 14] for more details. If M is compact, then we say that the foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ is *compact.*

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a foliation. We denote by $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{F}}$ the sheaf of functions f defined and compactly supported on $M \setminus E$ which are leafwise smooth and transversally continuous, that is, which are smooth along the leaves and all whose leafwise derivatives $\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} f}{\partial x^\alpha \partial \bar{x}^\beta}$ in foliation charts are continuous in (x, t).

We say that a vector field F on $\mathbb{C}^k$ is *generic linear* if it can be written as

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

where λ_j are non-zero complex numbers. The integral curves of F define a foliation on $\mathbb{C}^k$. The condition $\lambda_j \neq 0$ implies that the foliation has an isolated singularity at 0. Consider a foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ with a discrete set of singularities E. We say that a singular point $e \in E$ is *linearizable* if there is a (local) holomorphic coordinates system of M on an open neighborhood $\mathbb{U}_e$ of e on which the leaves of $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ are integral curves of a generic linear vector field. Such neighborhood $\mathbb{U}_e$ is called a *singular flow box* of e.

For the sake of simplicity, we adopt the following terminology throughout the article: A *foliation* means exactly a *holomorphic foliation* $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ *in a Hermitian compact complex manifold* (M, g_M) *with a finite set* E *of (linearizable) singularities.*

Throughout the article let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and let g_P be the Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{D}$, defined by

$$g_P(\zeta) := \frac{2}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} i d\zeta \wedge d\overline{\zeta}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

A leaf L of the foliation is said to be *hyperbolic* if it is a hyperbolic Riemann surface, i.e., it is uniformized by $\mathbb{D}$. For a hyperbolic leaf L_x, let $\phi_x : \mathbb{D} \to L_x$ be a universal covering map with $\phi_x(0) = x$. Note that ϕ_x is unique up to a rotation around $0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, the Poincaré metric g_P on $\mathbb{D}$ induces, via ϕ_x, the *Poincaré metric* on L_x which depends only on the leaf. The latter metric is given by a positive $(1,1)$-form on L_x that we also denote by g_P. The foliation is said to be *hyperbolic* if its leaves are all hyperbolic.

Consider the function $\eta : M \setminus E \to [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\eta(x) := \sup \{ \|D\phi(0)\| : \ \phi : \mathbb{D} \to L_x \text{ holomorphic such that } \phi(0) = x \}.$$

Here, for the norm of the differential $D\phi$ we use the Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{D}$ and the Hermitian metric g_M on L_x.

Following a recent joint-work with Dinh and Sibony [13], the foliation is said to be *Brody hyperbolic* if there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $\eta(x) \leq c_0$ for all $x \in M \setminus E$.

For simplicity we still denote by g_M the Hermitian metric on leaves of the foliation $(M \setminus E, \mathscr{F})$ induced by the ambient Hermitian metric g_M. We record the following relation between g_M and the Poincaré metric g_P on leaves

$$g_M = \eta^2 g_P. \tag{1}$$

It is clear that if the foliation is Brody hyperbolic then it is hyperbolic. Conversely, the Brody hyperbolicity is a consequence of the non-existence of holomorphic non-constant maps $\mathbb{C} \to M$ such that out of E the image of $\mathbb{C}$ is locally contained in leaves, see [15, Theorem 15]. Moreover, Lins Neto proves in [22] that for every holomorphic foliation of degree larger than 1 in $\mathbb{P}^k$, with non-degenerate singularities, there is a smooth metric with negative curvature on its tangent bundle, see also Glutsyuk [18]. Hence, these foliations are Brody hyperbolic. On the other hand, thanks to Lins Neto et Soares [25] we know that a generic holomorphic foliations in $\mathbb{P}^k$ with a given degree d is with non-degenerate singularities. Consequently, holomorphic foliations in $\mathbb{P}^k$ with a given degree $d > 1$ are generically Brody hyperbolic.

1.2 Leafwise metrics and harmonic measures

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a hyperbolic foliation in a Hermitian complex manifold (M, g_M). A *leafwise metric* is a tensor metric defined on all leaves of the foliation which depends measurably on plaques in each flow box. We say that a leafwise metric g is *complete and of bounded geometry* if the universal cover of each leaf of $\mathscr{F}$ is complete and of uniformly bounded geometry with respect to g. The assumption of uniformly bounded geometry means that there are real numbers $c, r > 0$, such that for every point $x \in M \setminus E$, the injectivity radius of the universal cover $\widetilde{L}_x$ (of the leaf L_x) is $\geq r$ and the Gaussian curvature of the leaf L_x at x belongs to the interval $[-c, c]$.

Let g be a leafwise metric which is complete and of bounded geometry. g induces the corresponding g-Laplacian $\Delta = \Delta_g$ on leaves. A positive finite Borel measure m on M is said to be *g-harmonic* if

$$\int_M \Delta u dm = 0$$

for all functions $u \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{F}}$.

A subset $X \subset M \setminus E$ is said to be *leafwise saturated* if $x \in X$ implies that the whole leaf L_x is contained in X.

1.3 Accelerating metrics

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a foliation in a Hermitian compact complex manifold (M, g_M). Assume that $E \subset M$ is an (eventually empty) finite set. To each leafwise metric g we associate a unique function $\xi : \ M \setminus E \to (0, \infty)$ such that $g = \xi^2 g_P$ on leaves, where g_P is as usual the leafwise Poincaré metric.

Definition 1.1. We say that a leafwise metric $g = \xi^2 g_P$ is *accelerating* if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) ξ is a continuous function.

(ii) g is complete and of bounded geometry.
(iii) for every $e \in E$, there exist an open neighborhood $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}_e$ of e with $\mathbb{U} \cap E = \{e\}$, a function $\rho = \rho_e : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ and a constant $c = c_e > 0$ such that:
(iii-a) $c^{-1}\rho(\mathrm{dist}(x,e)) \leq \xi(x) \leq c\rho(\mathrm{dist}(x,e))$ for each $x \in \mathbb{U}$;
(iii-b) $\frac{|\rho'(r)|}{\rho^2(r)} \leq \frac{c}{-r\log r}$ for all $r > 0$ small enough;
(iii-c) the following *integrability conditions* are satisfied:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\rho^2(r)dr}{r(\log r)^2} < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{\rho^2(r) r \log r} < \infty.$$

Roughly speaking, an accelerating metric has two behaviors. Far from the singularities it behaves like the Poincaré metric, whereas near the singularities it "accelerates" in comparison with the latter one (that is, $\xi(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to E$), and this acceleration is controlled. Each function ρ of type $\rho(r) := (-\log r)^\delta$ for $r > 0$ small enough, where δ is any number in $(0, 1/2)$, satisfies the growth conditions (iii-b) and (iii-c). Using this observation we will construct in Subsection 2.1 below a large class of accelerating metrics.

1.4 Sample-path spaces and holonomy cocycle

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a hyperbolic foliation, where (M, g_M) is a Hermitian complex manifold of dimension k. Let g be a leafwise metric which is complete and of bounded geometry. Let $\Omega := \Omega(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be the space consisting of all continuous paths $\omega : [0,\infty) \to M$ with image fully contained in a single leaf. This space is called *the sample-path space* associated to $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$. Observe that Ω can be thought of as the set of all possible paths that a Brownian particle, located at $\omega(0)$ at time $t = 0$, might follow as time progresses. For each $x \in M \setminus E$, let $\Omega_x = \Omega_x(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be the space of all continuous leafwise paths starting at x in $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$, that is,

$$\Omega_x := \{\omega \in \Omega : \ \omega(0) = x\}.$$

The theory of Brownian motion allows us to construct a natural probability measure W_x on Ω_x, using that L_x is endowed with the metric $g|_{L_x}$. This is the *Wiener measure* at x. A measure theory on Ω will be discussed thoroughly in Subsection 2.3 below. In particular, to each harmonic probability measure μ on $M \setminus E$, we associate a canonical probability measure $\bar{\mu}$ on Ω using formula (8) below.

Now we define the holonomy cocycle of the foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$. Let $T(\mathscr{F})$ be the tangent bundle to the leaves of the foliation, i.e., each fiber $T_x(\mathscr{F})$ is the tangent space $T_x(L_x)$ for each point $x \in M \setminus E$. The normal bundle $N(\mathscr{F})$ is, by definition, the quotient of $T(M)$ by $T(\mathscr{F})$, that is, the fiber $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ is the quotient $T_x(M)/T_x(\mathscr{F})$ for each $x \in M \setminus E$. Observe that the metric g_M on M induces a metric (still denoted by g_M) on $N(\mathscr{F})$. For every transversal S at

a point $x \in M$, the tangent space $T_x(S)$ is canonically identified with $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ through the composition $T_x(S) \hookrightarrow T_x(M) \to T_x(M)/T_x(\mathscr{F})$.

For every $x \in M \setminus E$ and $\omega \in \Omega_x$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $\mathrm{hol}_{\omega,t}$ be the holonomy map along the path $\omega|_{[0,t]}$ from a fixed transversal S_0 at $\omega(0)$ to a fixed transversal S_t at $\omega(t)$. Using the above identification, the derivative $D\mathrm{hol}_{\omega,t} : T_{\omega(0)}(S_0) \to T_{\omega(t)}(S_t)$ induces the so-called *holonomy cocycle* $\mathcal{H}(\omega, t) : N_{\omega(0)}(\mathscr{F}) \to N_{\omega(t)}(\mathscr{F})$. The last map depends only on the path $\omega|_{[0,t]}$, in fact, it depends only on the homotopy class of this path. In particular, it is independent of the choice of transversals S_0 and S_t.

1.5 Statement of the main result

Now we are in the position to state our main result.

Main Theorem. *Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a holomorphic Brody hyperbolic foliation with a finite number of linearizable singularities in a Hermitian compact complex manifold (M, g_M). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the holonomy cocycle of the foliation. Let g be an accelerating leafwise metric. Let μ be a g-harmonic probability measure. Consider the function $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by*

$$F(\omega) := \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \max\{|\log \|\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)\||, |\log \|\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\omega, t)\||\}, \qquad \omega \in \Omega.$$

Then F is $\bar{\mu}$-integrable.

Some remarks are in order. As mentioned in Subsection 1.3 above, accelerating metrics exist in abundance. On the other hand, Theorem 2.3 below exhibits a one-to-one correspondence between the convex cone of positive g-harmonic finite measures μ and the convex cone of positive harmonic currents T on M. Note that the latter cone is independent of the choice of a metric g and that it is always nonempty. This, combined with the discussion concluding Subsection 1.1, implies that the the Main Theorem applies to every generic foliation in $\mathbb{P}^k$ with a given degree $d > 1$.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 below we introduce a large family of accelerating metrics. A quick discussion on the heat diffusions as well as the measure theory on sample-path spaces will also be given in that section. This preparation serves as the background for what follows. Section 3 below is devoted to an analytic study on the holonomy cocycle. The proof of the main result will be provided in Section 4 below. A remark showing that the acceleration of the leafwise Poincaré metric is necessary concludes that section. As an application of the main result, we present, in the last section, an Oseledec multiplicative ergodic theorem for the holonomy cocycle. In particular, we define Lyapunov exponents of this cocycle.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Nessim Sibony (University of Paris 11) and Tien-Cuong Dinh (University of Paris 6) for interesting

discussions. The paper was partially prepared during the visit of the author at the Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. He would like to express his gratitude to these organizations for hospitality and for financial support.

2 Preparatory results

2.1 Accelerating metrics: examples

First we give a description of the local model for linearizable singularities. Consider the foliation $(\mathbb{D}^k, \mathscr{F}, \{0\})$ which is the restriction to $\mathbb{D}^k$ of the foliation associated to the vector field

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The foliation is singular at the origin. We use here the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{D}^k$. Write $\lambda_j = s_j + it_j$ with $s_j, t_j \in \mathbb{R}$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$, define the holomorphic map $\varphi_x : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^k \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\varphi_x(\zeta) := \left(x_1 e^{\lambda_1 \zeta}, \ldots, x_k e^{\lambda_k \zeta}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi_x(\mathbb{C})$ is the integral curve of F which contains $\varphi_x(0) = x$. Write $\zeta = u + iv$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. The domain $\Pi_x := \varphi_x^{-1}(\mathbb{D}^k)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is defined by the inequalities

$$s_j u - t_j v < -\log|x_j| \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

So, Π_x is a convex polygon which is not necessarily bounded. It contains 0 since $\varphi_x(0) = x$. The leaf of $\mathscr{F}$ through x contains the Riemann surface $\widehat{L}_x := \varphi_x(\Pi_x)$. In particular, the leaves in a singular flow box are parametrized in a canonical way using holomorphic maps $\varphi_x : \Pi_x \to L_x$, where Π_x is as above $\mathbb{C}$.

Now let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a Brody hyperbolic foliation on a Hermitian compact complex manifold (M, g_M). Assume as usual that E is finite and all points of E are linearizable. Let dist be the distance on M induced by the ambient metric g_M. We only consider flow boxes which are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^k$. For regular flow boxes, i.e., flow boxes outside the singularities, the plaques are identified with the discs parallel to the first coordinate axis. Singular flow boxes are identified to their models as described above. For each singular point $e \in E$, we fix a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e$ such that $2\mathbb{U}_e \cap 2\mathbb{U}_{e'} = \varnothing$ if $e \neq e'$. We also cover $M \setminus \cup_{e \in E} \mathbb{U}_e$ by regular flow boxes $\mathbb{U}_i$ which are fine enough. In particular, each $\overline{\mathbb{U}}_i$ is contained in a larger regular flow box $2\mathbb{U}_i$ with $2\mathbb{U}_i \cap E = \varnothing$. In this section we suppose that the ambient metric g_M coincides with the standard Euclidean metric on each singular flow box $2\mathbb{U}_e \simeq 2\mathbb{D}^k$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, let $\|x\|$ be the standard Euclidean norm of x.

We record here following crucial result which gives a precise estimate on the function η introduced in (1).

Lemma 2.1. *Under the above hypotheses and notation, there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $\eta \leq c$ on M, $\eta \geq c^{-1}$ outside the singular flow boxes $\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{U}_e$ and*

$$c^{-1} s \log^\star s \leq \eta(x) \leq cs \log^\star s$$

for $x \in M \setminus E$ and $s := \operatorname{dist}(x, E)$. Here $\log^\star(\cdot) := 1 + |\log(\cdot)|$ is a log-type function.

This result has been proved in Proposition 3.3 in [13].

Given a leafwise metric g, there exists a unique function $\xi : M \setminus E \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$g(x) = \xi^2(x) g_P(x), \qquad x \in M \setminus E. \tag{2}$$

The next theorem exhibits an explicit family of accelerating metrics.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\xi : M \setminus E \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous and leafwise smooth function. Assume that for each $e \in E$, there exists a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e$ such that $(\mathbb{U}_e, e) \simeq (\mathbb{D}^k, 0)$ and that $\xi(x) = (-\log \|x\|)^\delta$, $x \in \mathbb{U}_e$, for some $0 < \delta = \delta_e < 1/2$. Then the leafwise metric g defined by (2) is accelerating.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check condition (i) and (iii) of Definition 1.1. Therefore, it remains to check condition (ii) of this definition. Since there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $g \geq c g_P$, the completeness of all leaves follows.

Next, we will show that the Gaussian curvature of all leaves in singular flow boxes are uniformly bounded. Clearly, we only need to this check this for points near the singularities. To this end fix a point $x \in \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the locally biholomorphic map $\varphi_x : \Pi_x \to L_x$ defined as above. Note that Π_x is a convex domain containing 0. We want to estimate the Gaussian curvatures of the leaf L_x near the point x using φ_x. For ζ in a small neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$, write

$$(\varphi_x^* g)(\zeta) = \xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta)) \cdot (\varphi_x^* g_P)(\zeta) \equiv \xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta)) \cdot \tau^2(\zeta).$$

By pulling g back, via φ_x to an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$ The Gaussian curvature of (L_x, g) at a point $\varphi_x(\zeta)$ for ζ small enough is

$$\frac{-i\partial\overline{\partial} \log\left(\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta))\tau^2(\zeta)\right)}{\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta)\tau^2(\zeta)} = \frac{-i\partial\overline{\partial} \log\left(\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta))\right.}{\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta)\tau^2(\zeta)} + \frac{-i\partial\overline{\partial} \log\left(\tau^2(\zeta)\right)}{\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta)\tau^2(\zeta)}. \tag{3}$$

Since the Gaussian curvature of (L_x, g_P) is -1 and $\varphi_x^* g_P = \tau^2$, we infer that

$$-\frac{i\partial\overline{\partial} \log \tau^2(\zeta)}{\tau^2(\zeta)} = -1.$$

On the other hand, using the explicit expression of φ_x and applying Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{\eta(\varphi_x(\zeta))}\cdot(\varphi_x^* g_M)(\zeta)\\ &\approx \frac{1}{\|\varphi_x(\zeta)\|\big|\log\|\varphi_x(\zeta)\|\big|}\cdot\|\varphi_x(\zeta)\| \approx \frac{1}{\big|\log\|\varphi_x(\zeta)\|\big|}\approx\frac{1}{-\log\|x\|}.\end{aligned}$$

Using that

$$(\xi\circ\varphi_x)(\zeta) = \big(-\log\|(x_1e^{\lambda_1\zeta},\ldots,x_ke^{\lambda_k\zeta})\|\big)^{\delta}\approx\big(-\log\|x\|\big)^{\delta},$$

a straightforward computation gives that

$$\big|i\partial\overline{\partial}\log(\xi\circ\varphi_x)^2(\zeta)\big|\approx(-\log\|\varphi_x(\zeta)\|)^{-2}\approx(-\log\|x\|)^{-2}.$$

Inserting the last three estimates into (3), we infer that the curvature of (L_x,g) at a point $\varphi_x(\zeta)$ for ζ small enough tends to 0 uniformly as $\|x\|\to 0$.

Next, we will show that the injectivity radius of each leaf is uniformly bounded from below by a constant $r_0>0$. Recall that the leaves of the foliations are complete and of uniformly bounded geometry with respect to g. Therefore, by a result of Cheeger, Gromov and Taylor [9] we only need to show that there are some constants $c_0,r_0>0$ such that for every $x\in M\setminus E$,

$$\mathrm{Vol}_g(\mathbb{D}_g(x,r_0))>c_0, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbb{D}_g(x,r)$ is the disc centered at x with g-radius r on L_x, and Vol_g is the g-volume measure on L_x. Clearly, we only need to check this for points x near the singularities. To this end fix a point $x\in\mathbb{D}^k\setminus\{0\}$. Consider the locally biholomorphic map $\varphi_x:\ \Pi_x\to L_x$ defined as above. Note that Π_x is a convex domain containing 0 and that the Euclidean distance from 0 to $\partial\Pi_x$ is of order $-\log\|x\|$. Using the approximation for τ and $\xi\circ\varphi_x$ given above, we can show that there are constants $c_1,c_2,r>0$ such that

$$\varphi_x\big(\mathbb{D}(c_1(-\log\|x\|)^{1-\delta})\big)\subset\mathbb{D}_g(x,r_0)\subset\varphi_x\big(\mathbb{D}(c_2(-\log\|x\|)^{1-\delta})\big),$$

where $\Delta(\rho)$ denotes the disc (in $\mathbb{C}$) centered at 0 with radius ρ. Moreover, we can even show that, for $\zeta\in\mathbb{D}(c(-\log\|x\|)^{\delta})$ for any fixed $c>0$,

$$(\varphi_x^*g)(\zeta)=\xi^2(\varphi_x(\zeta))\cdot\tau^2(\zeta)\approx(-\log\|x\|)^{-2+2\delta}.$$

Consequently, there is a constant $c>0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{\varphi_x^*g}\big(\mathbb{D}(c_1(-\log\|x\|)^{1-\delta})\big)\geq\int_{|\zeta|\leq c_1(-\log\|x\|)^{1-\delta}}(-\log\|x\|)^{-2+2\delta}id\zeta\wedge d\bar{\zeta}\geq c,$$

proving (4). Hence, the proof is thereby completed. □

2.2 Heat diffusions and harmonic currents versus harmonic measures

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a hyperbolic foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ in a Hermitian compact complex manifold (M, g_M). Consider a leafwise metric g which is complete and of bounded geometry. For every point $x \in M \setminus E$ consider the *heat equation* on L_x

$$\frac{\partial p(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = \Delta_y p(x,y,t), \qquad \lim_{t\to 0} p(x,y,t) = \delta_x(y), \qquad y \in L_x,\ t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Here δ_x denotes the Dirac mass at x, Δ_y denotes the g-Laplacian Δ_g with respect to the variable y, and the limit is taken in the sense of distribution, that is,

$$\lim_{t\to 0+} \int_{L_x} p(x,y,t)\phi(y) d\mathrm{Vol}_x(y) = \phi(x)$$

for every smooth function ϕ compactly supported in L_x, where Vol_x denotes the volume form on L_x induced by $g|_{L_x}$.

The smallest positive solution of the above equation, denoted by $p(x,y,t)$, is called *the heat kernel*. Such a solution exists because L_x is complete and of bounded geometry (see, for example, [8, 6]). The heat kernel gives rise to a one parameter family $\{D_t :\ t \geq 0\}$ of diffusion operators defined on bounded functions on $M \setminus E$:

$$D_t f(x) := \int_{L_x} p(x,y,t) f(y) d\mathrm{Vol}_x(y), \qquad x \in M \setminus E. \tag{5}$$

We record here the semi-group property of this family: $D_0 = \mathrm{id}$ and $D_{t+s} = D_t \circ D_s$ for $t, s \geq 0$.

Let $\mathscr{C}^1_{\mathscr{F}}$ denote the space of forms of bidegree $(1,1)$ defined on leaves of the foliations and compactly supported on $M \setminus E$ which are leafwise smooth and transversally continuous. A form $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\mathscr{F}}$ is said to be *positive* if its restriction to every plaque is a positive $(1,1)$-form in the usual sense. A *positive harmonic current* T on the foliation is a linear continuous form on $\mathscr{C}^1_{\mathscr{F}}$ which verifies $\partial\overline{\partial} T = 0$ in the weak sense (namely $T(\partial\overline{\partial} f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{F}}$), and which is positive (namely, $T(\alpha) \geq 0$ for all positive forms $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}^1_{\mathscr{F}}$). The existence of such a current has been established by Berndtsson-Sibony [1] and Fornæss-Sibony [15]. The extension of T by zero through E, still denoted by T, is a positive $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed current on M. The total mass of the measure $T \wedge g_M$ is always finite. For any leafwise metric g, the g-mass of a positive harmonic current T is, by definition, the total mass of the measure $T \wedge g$.

A positive finite measure μ on the σ-algebra of Borel sets in M is said to be *ergodic* if for every leafwise saturated measurable set $Z \subset M$, $\mu(Z)$ is equal to either $\mu(M)$ or 0. A positive harmonic currents T is said to be *extremal* if it is an extremal point in the convex cone of positive harmonic currents.

The class of accelerating metrics enjoys the following important properties.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a hyperbolic foliation and g an accelerating (leafwise) metric.*
1) Then, for each positive harmonic current T, the g-mass of T is finite.
2) The relation $\mu = T \wedge g$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the convex cone of (resp. extremal) positive harmonic currents T and the convex cone of (resp. ergodic) positive harmonic finite measures μ.
3) All positive harmonic finite measures μ are D_t-invariant, i.e,

$$\int_M D_t f d\mu = \int_M f d\mu, \qquad f \in L^1(M, \mu).$$

Proof. In an arbitrarily fixed singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e \simeq (2\mathbb{D})^k$, the metric g_M coincides with the standard Kähler metric $i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$. Recall that $g = \frac{\xi^2}{\eta^2} g_M$ on leaves in $\mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, $\eta(a) \gtrsim \|a\| |\log \|a\||$. Let T be a positive $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed current on $(2\mathbb{D})^k$ such that $\mu = T \wedge g$. We only have to show that the integral on the the ball $B_{1/2}$, with respect to the measure $T \wedge i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$, of the radial function $\beta(r) := r^{-2} |\log r|^{-2} \rho(r)^2$ is finite. Let $m(r) := \int_{B_r} T \wedge i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$. Since the Lelong number of T at 0 exists and is finite, we have $m(r) \lesssim r^2$ (see Lemma 2.4 in [11]). Using an integration by parts, the considered integral is equal, up to finite constants, to

$$-\int_0^1 m(r)\beta'(r)dr.$$

Using an integration by part again, we can check that the last integral is finite when $\int_0^1 \frac{\rho(r)^2 dr}{r(\log r)^2} < \infty$. But this condition is always satisfied according to the first integrability condition (iii-c) of the accelerating metric g. This proves assertion 1).

Using assertion 1) and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [11], assertion 2) follows.

Let T be the unique harmonic current, given by assertion 2), such that $\mu = T \wedge g$. Since ξ is continuous outside E and $\xi(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to E$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $g \geq c g_P$. Hence, T is g-regular in the sense of [11, p. 361]. This, together with the fact that μ is finite, allows us to apply Theorem 6.4 in [11]. Hence, assertion 3) follows. □

2.3 Measure theory on sample-path spaces

In this subsection we follow the expositions given in Subsection 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5 in [23] (see also [6]). Recall first the following terminology. A *σ-algebra* $\mathscr{A}$ on a set Ω is a family of subsets of Ω such that $\Omega \in \mathscr{A}$, and $X \setminus A \in \mathscr{A}$ for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$, and that $\mathscr{A}$ is stable under countable intersections, i.e., $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{A}$ for any sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathscr{A}$. The σ-algebra generated by a family $\mathscr{S}$ of subsets of Ω is, by definition, the smallest σ-algebra containing $\mathscr{S}$.

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a hyperbolic foliation endow with a accelerating (leafwise) metric g. Let $\Omega := \Omega(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be the associated sample-path space. The first goal of this subsection is to introduce a σ-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ on Ω and to construct, for each point $x \in M \setminus E$, the so-called Wiener probability measure W_x on Ω.

A *cylinder set (in Ω)* is a set of the form

$$C = C(\{t_i, B_i\} : 1 \le i \le m) := \{\omega \in \Omega : \ \omega(t_i) \in B_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le m\}.$$

where m is a positive integer and the B_i are Borel subsets of $M \setminus E$, and $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m$ is a set of increasing times. In other words, C consists of all paths $\omega \in \Omega$ which can be found within B_i at time t_i. For each point $x \in M \setminus E$, let

$$W_x(C) := \Big(D_{t_1}(\chi_{B_1} D_{t_2 - t_1}(\chi_{B_2} \cdots \chi_{B_{m-1}} D_{t_m - t_{m-1}}(\chi_{B_m}) \cdots)\Big)(x), \tag{6}$$

where $C := C(\{t_i, B_i\} : 1 \le i \le m)$ as above, χ_{B_i} is the characteristic function of B_i and D_t is the diffusion operator given by (5).

Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} = \widetilde{\mathscr{A}}(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be the σ-algebra generated by all cylinder sets. It can be proved that W_x extends to a probability measure on $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Summarizing what has been done so far, we have constructed, for each $x \in M \setminus E$, a probability measure W_x on the measure space $(\Omega, \widetilde{\mathscr{A}})$.

The covering foliation $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}})$ of a foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ is, in some sense, its universal cover. We give here its construction. For every leaf L of $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ and every point $x \in L$, let $\pi_1(L, x)$ denotes as usual the first fundamental group of all continuous closed paths $\gamma : \ [0,1] \to L$ based at x, i.e. $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x$. Let $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(L, x)$ be the class of a closed path γ based at x. Then the pair $(x, [\gamma])$ represents a point in $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}})$. Thus the set of points of $\widetilde{M}$ is well-defined. The leaf $\widetilde{L}$ passing through a given point $(x, [\gamma]) \in \widetilde{M}$, is by definition, the set

$$\widetilde{L} := \{(y, [\delta]) : \ y \in L_x, \ [\delta] \in \pi_1(L, y)\},$$

which is the universal cover of L_x. We put the following topological structure on $\widetilde{M}$ by describing a basis of open sets. Such a basis consists of all sets $\mathscr{N}(U, \alpha)$, U being an open subset of $M \setminus E$ and $\alpha : \ U \times [0,1] \to M$ being a continuous function such that $\alpha_x := \alpha(x, \cdot)$ is a closed path in L_x based at x for each $x \in U$, and

$$\mathscr{N}(U, \alpha) := \{(x, [\alpha_x]) : \ x \in U\}.$$

The projection $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M \setminus E$ is defined by $\pi(x, [\gamma]) := x$. It is clear that π is locally homeomorphic and is a leafwise map. By pulling-back the foliation atlas of $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ as well as the metric g via π, we obtain a natural foliation atlas for $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}})$ endowed with the leafwise metric $\pi^* g$. Denote by $\widetilde{\Omega}$ the space $\Omega(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}})$.

Let $x \in M \setminus E$ and $\tilde{x}$ an arbitrary point in $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset \widetilde{M}$. Recall that Ω_x is the space of all paths ω in Ω starting at x, i.e., $\omega(0) = x$. Analogously, let

$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}} = \Omega_{\tilde{x}}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}})$ be the space of all paths in $\widetilde{\Omega}$ starting at $\tilde{x}$. Every path $\omega \in \Omega_x$ lifts uniquely to a path $\tilde{\omega} \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}}$ in the sense that $\pi(\tilde{\omega}) = \omega$. In what follows this bijective lifting is denoted by $\pi_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} : \Omega_x \to \widetilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{x}}$. So $\pi(\pi_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(\omega)) = \omega$, $\omega \in \Omega_x$.

Definition 2.4. *Let $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{A}(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be the σ-algebra generated by all sets of following family*

$$\left\{\pi \circ \tilde{A} : \text{ cylinder set } \tilde{A} \text{ in } \widetilde{\Omega}\right\},$$

where $\pi \circ \tilde{A} := \{\pi \circ \tilde{\omega} : \tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{A}\}$.

Observe that $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} \subset \mathscr{A}$ and that the equality holds if every leaf of the foliation is homeomorphic to the disc $\mathbb{D}$.

Now we construct a family $\{W_x\}_{x \in M \setminus E}$ of probability Wiener measures on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$. Let $x \in M \setminus E$ and C an element of $\mathscr{A}$. Then we define the so-called *Wiener measure*

$$W_x(C) := W_{\tilde{x}}(\pi_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} C), \tag{7}$$

where $\tilde{x}$ is an arbitrary point in $\pi^{-1}(x)$, and

$$\pi_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(C) := \left\{\pi_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}\omega : \omega \in C \cap \Omega_x\right\}.$$

Given a probability measure μ on $M \setminus E$, consider the measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$ defined by

$$\bar{\mu}(B) := \int_X \left(\int_{\omega \in B \cap \Omega_x} dW_x \right) d\mu(x), \qquad B \in \mathscr{A}. \tag{8}$$

The measure $\bar{\mu}$ is called the *Wiener measure with initial distribution μ.* The following result has been proved in Proposition 2.12 in [23].

Proposition 2.5. *We keep the above hypotheses and notation.*
(i) The value of $W_x(C)$ defined in (7) is independent of the choice of $\tilde{x}$. Moreover, W_x is a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$.
(ii) $\bar{\mu}$ given in (8) is a probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$.

3 Holonomy cocycles

Observe that the validity of the Main Theorem does not depend on the choice of an ambient metric g_M. Indeed, given another ambient metric g'_M, the compactness of M implies the existence of a constant $c > 0$ such that $c^{-1} g_M \leq g'_M \leq g_M$. Consequently, we can show that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|F(\omega) - F'(\omega)| < c, \qquad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where F (resp. F') denotes the function F defined in the Main Theorem with respect to the metric g_M (resp. g'_M). Hence, by Theorem 2.3, F is $\bar{\mu}$-integrable if

and only if F' is $\bar{\mu}$-integrable, proving our previous assertion. Therefore, we may suppose without loss of generality that the ambient metric g_M coincides with the standard Euclidean metric on each singular flow box $2\mathbb{U}_e \simeq 2\mathbb{D}^k$. By the same reason, we also assume that the function ξ is radial near each singular point, that is, $\xi(x) = \rho(\mathrm{dist}(x, e))$, $x \in \mathbb{U}_e$, for each $e \in E$.

For every $x \in M \setminus E$ let $\mathbb{T}_x$ be a codimension 1 (complex) submanifold of M that is orthogonal to the leaf L_x at x. When x in a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e \simeq \mathbb{D}^k$, we may choose $\mathbb{T}_x$ as the hyperplane which is g_M-orthogonal to the tangent space of L_x at x, that is,

$$\mathbb{T}_x := \left\{x + u : \ u \in \mathbb{C}^k, \ \langle u, \lambda x\rangle = 0\right\}.$$

Here, for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, we write

$$\lambda x := (\lambda_1 x_1, \ldots, \lambda_k x_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, y\rangle := \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \bar{y}_j.$$

For every $x \in M \setminus E$ and y in a small simply connected plaque P passing through x, let $\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}$ be the holonomy map $\mathrm{hol}_{\omega,t}$ where $\omega \in \Omega$ is a path starting at x such that $\omega|_{[0,t]} \subset P$ and $\omega(t) = y$. Since P is simply connected, $\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}$ does not depend on the choice of any ω and t. So $D\mathrm{hol}_{x,y} : \ N_x(\mathscr{F}) \to N_y(\mathscr{F})$. For every $x \in M \setminus E$, let

$$D \log \mathcal{H}(x, \cdot)u := \lim_{y \to x, \ y \in L_x} \frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}(y, x)} \log \frac{\|D\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(u)\|}{\|u\|}, \quad u \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\},$$

and

$$\|D \log \mathcal{H}(x, \cdot)\| := \sup_{u \in N_x(\mathscr{F})\setminus\{0\}} |D \log \mathcal{H}(x, \cdot)u|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes as usual the g_M-norm. We have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $x \in M \setminus E$,*

$$\|D \log \mathcal{H}(x, \cdot)\| \leq \frac{c}{\mathrm{dist}(x, E)}.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following homothetic property

$$D\mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tu) = tD\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(u), \qquad u \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{9}$$

where $x, \ y \in \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$, y in a simply connected small plaque passing through x, $t > 0$ such that $tx, ty \in \mathbb{D}^k$.

Taking for granted identity (9), we will complete the proof of the lemma as follows. First observe that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|D \log \mathcal{H}(x, \cdot)\| \leq c, \qquad x \in M \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} 1/2\mathbb{U}_e. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, (10) holds since $\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}$ is smooth with respect to the parameters (x,y) and the set $M \setminus \bigcup_{e\in E} 1/2\mathbb{U}_e$ is compact. So (10) implies the lemma since $\mathrm{dist}(x,E) \geq 1/2$ when x is in this set.

Therefore, it remains to us to consider the case where $x \in 1/2\mathbb{U}_e \simeq (1/2\mathbb{D})^k$ for some $e \in E$. Set $t := \frac{1}{2\|x\|}$. Applying identity (9) and noting that $y \in L_x$ if and only if $ty \in L_{tx}$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} D\log\mathcal{H}(tx,\cdot)tu &= \lim_{y\to x,\ y\in L_x} \frac{1}{\|ty-tx\|}\log\frac{\|D\mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tu)\|}{\|tu\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\lim_{y\to x,\ y\in L_x}\frac{1}{\mathrm{dist}(y,x)}\log\frac{\|D\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(u)\|}{\|u\|} = \frac{1}{t}D\log\mathcal{H}(x,\cdot)u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$D\log\mathcal{H}(x,\cdot)u = \frac{1}{2\|x\|}D\log\mathcal{H}(tx,\cdot)tu. \tag{11}$$

Since $\|tx\| = 1/2$, it follows that $tx \notin M \setminus \bigcup_{e\in E} 1/2\mathbb{U}_e$. Consequently, by (10) we get that $|D\log\mathscr{A}(tx,\cdot)tu| \leq c$. This, combined with equality (11), implies the lemma in this last case.

To complete the lemma it suffices to show identity (9). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$ as in identity (9). There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}$ is well-defined and holomorphic on $\{u \in u \in \mathbb{C}^k :\ \|u\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ and } x+u \in \mathbb{T}_x\}$. Observe that

$$\mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tx+tsu) = t\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x+su), \qquad s \in \mathbb{D},$$

since $\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x+su) \in \mathbb{T}_y$ implies that $tx+tsu$ and $t\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x+su)$ are in the same leaf and that $t\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x+su) \in \mathbb{T}_{ty}$. Consequently, for $u \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tu) &= \lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{s}\|\mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tx+tsu) - \mathrm{hol}_{tx,ty}(tx)\| \\ &= t\cdot\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{1}{s}\|\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x+su) - \mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(x)\| = tD\mathrm{hol}_{x,y}(u), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (9). □

In the remaining part of the section we investigate the holonomy cocycle when we travel along geodesics with respect to the leafwise metric g. For every $x \in M\setminus E$, fix an arbitrary (locally) geodesic $\omega_x :\ [0,\infty) \to L_x$ which is parameterized by their length with respect to g and which starts at x, i.e. $\omega(0) = x$. Consider the function $f_x :\ \mathbb{R}^+ \times N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_x(t,v) := \log\frac{\|\mathcal{H}(\omega_x,t)v\|}{\|v\|}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

The following lemmas give us optimal estimate on the transverse expansion rate while traveling along g-geodesics.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant $c > 1$ independent of $x \in M \setminus E$ and of the choice of a geodesic ω_x such that*

$$\frac{\partial f_x(t,v)}{\partial t} \leq c\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(\omega_x(t), E))}{\xi(\omega_x(t))}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_x(t,v)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \leq c\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(x, E)}{\xi(x)}, \qquad v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{12}$$

for a constant $c > 1$ independent of $x \in M \setminus E$. Consider two cases.
Case 1: *x is contained in a regular flow box $\mathbb{U}_i$.*

Using that the map $f_x(t,v)$ is smooth with respect to the variables (t,v) as well as the parameter x and using that the compact set $\overline{\mathbb{U}}_i$ does not meet E, we can show that

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_x(t,v)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \leq c, \qquad v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\},$$

for a constant $c > 1$ independent of x. This, combined with the estimate $\operatorname{dist}(x, E) \geq 1/2$ and $\xi(x) \geq c'$ for $x \in \mathbb{U}_i$, implies (12) in this case.
Case 2: *x is contained in a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e$.*

Recall that $g = \xi^2 g_P$ and $g_M = \eta^2 g_P$, it follows that $g_M = \frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2} g$. Consequently, using that $\omega_x(0) = x$, we obtain that

$$\left.\frac{\partial f_x(t,v)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\|\omega_x(t) - \omega_x(0)\|}{t} \cdot D\log \mathcal{H}(x,\cdot)v. = \frac{\eta(x)}{\xi(x)} \cdot D\log \mathcal{H}(x,\cdot)v.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, the absolute value of the last expression is is dominated by a constant times

$$\frac{\|x\|\,|\log\|x\||}{\xi(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{\|x\|} = \frac{|\log\|x\||}{\xi(x)},$$

which proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3.3. *There is a constant $c > 0$ independent of x and ω_x such that*

$$\frac{\partial f_x(t,v)}{\partial t} \leq c\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(x, E)e^t}{\xi(x)}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(\omega_x(t), E)}{\xi(\omega_x(t))} \leq c\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(x, E)e^t}{\xi(x)}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{13}$$

Fix a $t > 0$. We divide $[0,t]$ into a finite number of interval $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $t_0 = 0$, $t_n = t$ and that each $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ is contained in a (singular

or regular) flow box. By an induction argument on n, it is sufficient to show that if (13) holds for t_{n-1}, then it also holds for $t = t_n$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: *x is contained in a regular flow box $\mathbb{U}_i$.*

Since $\xi(y) \geq c$ for all $y \in M \setminus E$ for some constant c and $y \mapsto \log^\star \operatorname{dist}(y, E)$ and $y \mapsto \xi(y)$ are both bounded on $\mathbb{U}_i$, it follows that

$$\frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(\omega_x(t), E)}{\xi(\omega_x(t))} \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(x, E) e^t}{\xi(x)} \geq \frac{1}{c},$$

proving (13) in this case.

Case 2: *x is contained in a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e \simeq \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$.*

Suppose without loss of generality that $\omega_x(t_{n-1})$ is contained in a $(2\mathbb{D})^k \setminus \{0\}$. In (13) we may replace $\log^\star \operatorname{dist}(\omega_x(\tau), E)$ by $\|\omega_x(\tau)\|$ and $\xi(\omega_x(\tau))$ by $\rho(\|\omega_x(\tau)\|)$ for all $\tau \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$. Consequently, (13) is reduced to

$$\frac{\log \|\omega_x(\tau)\|}{\rho(\|\omega_x(\tau)\|)} \leq c \frac{\log \|\omega_x(t_{n-1})\| e^t}{\rho(\|\omega_x(t_{n-1})\|)}, \qquad \tau \in [t_{n-1}, t_n].$$

Taking the logarithm of both members, the last estimate is equivalent to the existence of $c > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\tau} \log \frac{\|\omega_x(\tau)\|}{\rho(\|\omega_x(\tau)\|)} \right| \leq c, \qquad \tau \ \in [t_{n-1}, t_n].$$

Recall that $g_M = \frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2} g \approx \frac{\eta^2}{\rho^2} g$ on leaves in $\mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\eta(x) \approx -\|x\| \log \|x\|$ for $x \in (1/2\mathbb{D})^k \setminus \{0\}$ according to Lemma 2.1. Using this and making the change of variable $r := \|\omega_x(\tau)\|$, the previous condition becomes

$$\left| \frac{d}{dr} (\log \log r - \log \rho(r)) \cdot \frac{r \log r}{\rho(r)} \right| < c.$$

This is equivalent to the condition $|\frac{d}{dr}(\frac{1}{\rho(r)})| \leq \frac{c}{-r \log r}$ for all $0 < r < r_0$ with some $r_0 > 0$, which follows, in turn, from Definition 1.1 (iii-b) and the assumption that g is accelerating. So (13) is proved in this last case. □

4 Proof of the main result

In what follows we denote by dist_g the distance induced by the metric g on leaves of the foliation $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$. We need the following two preparatory results.

Lemma 4.1. *There is a finite constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in M \setminus E$ and all $s \geq 1$,*

$$W_x \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \operatorname{dist}_g(\omega(0), \omega(t)) > s \right\} < c_0 e^{-s^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi_x : \mathbb{D} \to L_x$ be a universal covering map with $\phi_x(0) = x$. We have to show that

$$W_0\left\{\omega \in \Omega(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}_{\varphi_x^* g}(\omega(0), \omega(t)) > s\right\} < c_0 e^{-s^2}$$

where W_0 is the Wiener measure at 0 of the disc $\mathbb{D}$ endowed with the metric $\varphi_x^* g$. Since the metric g is accelerating, $(\mathbb{D}, \varphi_x^* g)$ is complete and of uniformly bounded geometry. Consequently, the last assertion follows by combining Lemma 8.16 and Corollary 8.8 in [4]. □

Here is the second preparatory result.

Proposition 4.2. *There are constants $c_1,\ c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\log \|\mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}(\omega, t)\|\right| \le \frac{c_1 \log^\star \mathrm{dist}(\omega(0), E)}{\xi(\omega(0))} \cdot e^{c_2 \mathrm{dist}_P(\omega(t),\omega(0))}, \qquad \omega \in \Omega,\ t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Proof. We only give the estimate for $\left|\log \|\mathcal{A}(\omega, t)\|\right|$ since the estimate for $\left|\log \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\omega, t)\|\right|$ can be proved similarly. Let $\omega \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Put $x := \omega(0)$ and $y := \omega(t)$. Let ω_x be a geodesic starting at x and passing through y such that the segment of ω_x delimited by x and y is homotopic with the curve $\omega|_{[0,t]}$ on the leaf L_x. So there is a unique $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\omega_x(s) = y$. By Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$f_x(s, v) = \int_0^s \frac{\partial f_x(t, v)}{\partial t} dt \le c_4 \frac{\log^\star \mathrm{dist}(x, E)}{\xi(x)} \int_0^s e^t dt = c_4 \frac{\log^\star \mathrm{dist}(x, E)}{\xi(x)} e^{c_2 s}.$$

Since $\mathrm{dist}_g(\omega(t), \omega(0)) = \mathrm{dist}_g(x, y) = s$, this completes the proof. □

Now we arrive at the

End of the proof of the Main Theorem. By Proposition 4.2, we have that

$$\left|\log \|\mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}(\omega, t)\|\right| \le \frac{c_1 \log^\star \mathrm{dist}(\omega(0), E)}{\xi(\omega(0))} \cdot e^{c_2 \mathrm{dist}_g(\omega(t),\omega(0))}, \qquad \omega \in \Omega,\ t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_\Omega F(\omega) d\bar{\mu}(\omega) \le c_1 \int_\Omega \frac{\log^\star \mathrm{dist}(\omega(0), E)}{\xi(\omega(0))} e^{c_2 \cdot \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))} d\bar{\mu}(\omega).$$

By formula (8) we may rewrite the integral on the right hand side as

$$\int_X \frac{\log^\star \mathrm{dist}(x, E)}{\xi(x)} \cdot \Big(\int_{\Omega_x} e^{c_2 \cdot \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))} dW_x(\omega) \Big) d\mu(x). \tag{14}$$

Next, we will show that there is a constant $c_3 > 0$ independent of x such that the inner integral is uniformly bounded by c_3, that is,

$$\int_{\Omega_x} e^{c_2 \cdot \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \mathrm{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))} dW_x(\omega) < c_3. \tag{15}$$

To this end we focus on a single L passing through a given point x. Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega_x} e^{c_2\cdot\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\operatorname{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(1))}dW_x(\omega)=\int_0^\infty W_x\{\omega\in\Omega_x:\ e^{c_2\cdot\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\operatorname{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))}>s\}ds.$$

The integrand on the right-hand side is equal to

$$W_x\{\omega\in\Omega_x:\ \sup_{t\in[0,1]}\operatorname{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))>\log s/c_2\}.$$

For $0\le s\le e^{c_2}$, this quantity is clearly ≤ 1. For $s\ge e^{c_2}$, this quantity is dominated, thanks to Lemma 4.1, by $c_0e^{-(\log s)^2/c_2^2}$. Since $\int_{e^{c_2}}^\infty e^{-(\log s)^2/c_2^2}ds<\infty$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega_x} e^{c_2\cdot\operatorname{dist}_g(\omega(0),\omega(t))}dW_x(\omega)$$

is bounded from above by a constant independent of x. This proves (15).

Using (15) the integral in (14) is dominated by a constant times

$$\int_X\frac{\log^\star\operatorname{dist}(x,E)}{\xi(x)}d\mu(x).$$

Observe that the function $x\ni M\setminus E\mapsto\frac{\log^\star\operatorname{dist}(x,E)}{\xi(x)}$ is locally bounded. Therefore, in order to show that the last integral is finite, it is sufficient to show that it is finite in a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e\simeq(2\mathbb{D})^k\setminus\{0\}$. Note that the metric g_M coincides with the standard Kähler metric $i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$ in $(2\mathbb{D})^k$. Recall that $g_M=\frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2}g\approx\frac{\eta^2}{\rho^2}g$ on leaves in $\mathbb{D}^k\setminus\{0\}$ and that $\eta(x)\approx-\|x\|\log\|x\|$ for $x\in(1/2\mathbb{D})^k\setminus\{0\}$ according to Lemma 2.1. Let T be a positive $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed current on $\mathbb{D}^k$ such that $\mu=T\wedge g$. We only have to show that the integral on the the ball $B_{1/2}$, with respect to the measure $T\wedge i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$, of the radial function $\gamma(r):=r^{-2}|\log r|^{-1}\rho(r)^{-2}$ is finite. Let $m(r):=\int_{B_r}T\wedge i\partial\overline{\partial}\|z\|^2$. Since the Lelong number of T at 0 exists and is finite, we have $m(r)\lesssim r^2$ (see Lemma 2.4 in [11]). Using an integration by parts, the considered integral is equal, up to finite constants, to

$$-\int_0^1 r^2\widetilde{\gamma}'(r)dr=-2\int_0^1 r\gamma(r)dr-\left[-r^2\gamma(r)\right]_0^1.$$

Since g is accelerating, it follows from the second integrability condition in Definition 1.1 (iii-c) that the integral on the right hand side is finite. By Definition 1.1 (iii-b) the last term on the right hand side is also finite. Hence, F is $\bar{\mu}$-integrable. □

Remark 4.3. Now let $g=g_P$, that is, $\xi\equiv 1$. For each g_P-harmonic probability measure μ let T be a positive $\partial\overline{\partial}$-closed current on M such that $\mu=T\wedge g_P$. Fix a singular flow box $\mathbb{U}_e$ of a singular point $e\in E$, and identifies $(\mathbb{U}_e,e)$ with $(\mathbb{D}^k,0)$. Suppose that the numbers $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ are "generic", for example, they

are pairwise non $\mathbb{R}$-linear. Suppose also that the Lelong number of T at 0 is > 0. The last assumption seems reasonable since it is usually expected that the mass of T concentrates near E. We will show that

$$\int_{\Omega} \big| \log \|\mathcal{A}(\omega, 1)\| \big| d\bar{\mu}(\omega) = \infty. \tag{16}$$

This is an evidence showing that an acceleration of the Poincaré metric is possibly unavoidable. Indeed, let $\mathbb{D}$ be endowed with the Poincaré metric g_P, and consider the following subspace of $\Omega_0(\mathbb{D})$:

$$A := \{\omega \in \Omega_0(\mathbb{D}) : \ 1 < \mathrm{dist}_{g_P}(0, \omega(1)) < 2\}.$$

By (5) and (6), $W_0(A) > 0$. Since $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are generic, we argue as in Section 3 for $\xi \equiv 1$. Therefore, we can show that there is $0 < c < 1$ such that

$$\big| \log \|\mathcal{A}(\omega, 1)\| \big| \approx - \log \|x\|$$

for each $0 < \|x\| < c$ and $\omega \in \phi_x \circ A$. Consequently, the integral in (16) is greater than a positive constant times $W_0(A) > 0$ times

$$\int_{0<\|x\|<c} - \log \|x\| d\mu(x).$$

Since the Lelong number of T at 0 is > 0, we get that $m(r) \approx r^2$. Hence, arguing as the end of the proof of the Main Theorem, the last integral is

$$- \int_0^c \frac{dr}{r \log r} = \infty.$$

This proves (16).

5 Lyapunov exponents and Oseledec decomposition

Let $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ be a foliation in a Hermitian complex manifold (M, g_M) of dimension k. Recall from the definition of the holonomy cocycle that given any path $\omega \in \Omega$ and any time $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism from $N_{\omega(0)}(\mathscr{F})$ onto $N_{\omega(t)}(\mathscr{F})$. In the literature there are several versions of a Lyapunov exponent of $\mathcal{H}$. The most geometric way is to characterize the Lyapunov exponent as the maximal transverse expansion rate along geodesics (see, for example, Hurder's survey article [20] for a systematic exposition). On the other hand, in the case where $\dim(M) = 2$ and $E = \varnothing$, Candel and Deroin [4, 10] define the (unique) Lyapunov exponent of $\mathcal{H}$ as the transverse expansion rate along a leawise Brownian path. We generalize the last authors' approach to the higher dimension. Note, moreover, that in the next work [24] we will give geometric interpretations of our Lyapunov exponents.

Definition 5.1. The *transverse expansion rate* along a path $\omega \in \Omega$ in the direction $v \in N_{\omega(0)}(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}$ at time $t > 0$ is

$$\mathscr{E}(\omega, t, v) := \frac{1}{t} \log \frac{\|\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)v\|}{\|v\|}.$$

The *transverse expansion rate* along a path $\omega \in \Omega$ at time $t > 0$ is

$$\mathscr{E}(\omega, t) := \frac{1}{t} \log \|\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)\| = \sup_{v \in N_{\omega(0)}(\mathscr{F}) \setminus \{0\}} \mathscr{E}(\omega, t, v).$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the g_M-norm. The *angle* between two complex (resp. real) subspaces V, W of $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ for each point $x \in M \setminus E$, is, by definition,

$$\measuredangle\big(V, W\big) := \min\left\{\arccos\langle v, w\rangle : \ v \in V, \ w \in W, \|v\| = \|w\| = 1\right\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the g_M-Hermitian inner product.

Theorem 5.2. *We keep the hypotheses of Main Theorem. Assume in addition that the g-harmonic probability measure μ is ergodic. Then there exists a leafwise saturated Borel subset X of M with $\mu(X) = 1$ and a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and m integers $d_1, \ldots, d_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following properties hold:*
(i) For each $x \in X$ there exists a decomposition of $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ as a direct sum of complex linear subspaces

$$N_x(\mathscr{F}) = \oplus_{i=1}^{m} H_i(x),$$

such that $\dim H_i(x) = d_i$ *and that the following* holonomy invariant property *holds: $\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)H_i(x) = H_i(\omega(t))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_x$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover, $x \mapsto H_i(x)$ is a measurable map from X into the Grassmannian of $N_x(\mathscr{F})$. Moreover, there are real numbers*

$$\chi_m < \chi_{m-1} < \cdots < \chi_2 < \chi_1$$

such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathscr{E}(\omega, t, v) = \chi_i,$$

uniformly on $v \in H_i(x) \setminus \{0\}$, for W_x-almost every $\omega \in \Omega_x$. The numbers $\chi_m < \chi_{m-1} < \cdots < \chi_2 < \chi_1$ are called the Lyapunov exponents *of the foliation.*
(ii) The maximal Lyapunov exponent χ_1 may be characterized by the following formula:

$$\chi_1 = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathscr{E}(\omega, t)$$

for W_x-almost every $\omega \in \Omega_x$ and for every $x \in X$.
(iii) For $S \subset N := \{1, \ldots, m\}$ let $H_S(x) := \oplus_{i \in S} H_i(x)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \sin \left|\measuredangle\big(H_S(\omega(t)), H_{N \setminus S}(\omega(t))\big)\right| = 0$$

for W_x-almost every $\omega \in \Omega_x$.

Some remarks are in order.

• The decomposition of $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ as a direct sum of complex subspaces $\oplus_{i=1}^{m(x)} H_i(x)$, given in (i) is said to be the *Oseledec decomposition* at a point $x \in Y$.

• Following assertion (ii) the Lyapunov exponents measures, in some sense, the growth rate of the derivative of the holonomy map along a generic Brownian leafwise path. Theorem 5.2 gives us a full characterization of of Lyapunov exponents of a foliation. When $k > 2$, there are, in general, several Lyapunov exponents.

Observe that both the source space and the target space of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear invertible transformation $\mathcal{H}(\omega, t)$ may vary as $\omega|_{[0,t]}$ varies. In order to apply our previous work [23], we need to work with a *conjugate* $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that all isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}(\omega, t)$ have $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ as their source spaces and target spaces, namely, $\mathcal{A}(\omega, t) \in \mathrm{GL}(k-1, \mathbb{C})$. This consideration leads us to the following notion.

An *identifier* τ is a suitable smooth map which associates to each point $x \in M \setminus E$ a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isometry $\tau(x) : \ N_x(\mathscr{F}) \to \mathbb{C}^{k-1}$, that is, $\tau(x)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear morphism such that

$$\|\tau(x)v\| = \|v\|, \qquad v \in N_x(\mathscr{F}),\ x \in M \setminus E. \tag{17}$$

Here we have used the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ on the left-hand side and the induced g_M-norm on the right hand side. We identify every fiber $N_x(\mathscr{F})$ with $\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$ via τ. Using a partition of unity of M, we can show that there always exists an identifier. The *holonomy cocycle* $\mathcal{A}$ of $(M, \mathscr{F}, E)$ with respect to the identifier τ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(\omega, t) := \tau(\omega(t)) \circ \mathcal{H}(\omega, t) \circ \tau^{-1}(\omega(0)), \qquad \omega \in \Omega,\ t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{18}$$

By Proposition 3.3 in [23], $\mathcal{A}$ is a (multiplicative) cocycle in the sense of this cited work. We deduce from the above discussion the following relation between the transverse expansion rate functions given in Definition 5.1 and the cocycle $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{E}(\omega, t, v) &= \frac{1}{t} \log \frac{\|\mathcal{A}(\omega, t)(\tau(\omega(0))v)\|}{\|\tau(\omega(0))v\|}, \\ \mathscr{E}(\omega, t) &= \frac{1}{t} \log \|\mathcal{A}(\omega, t)(\tau(\omega(0))v)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for every path $\omega \in \Omega$ and $v \in N_{\omega(0)}(\mathscr{F})$, $v \neq 0$, and time $t > 0$.

End of the proof of Theorem 5.2. Using equalities (17) and (18) the integrability of F given by the the Main Theorem implies that the function

$$\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \max\{|\log \|\mathcal{A}(\omega, t)\||, |\log \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\omega, t)\||\}$$

is $\bar{\mu}$-integrable. Therefore, we are in the position to apply Corollary 3.6 in [23]. Consequently, we obtain the characterization of Lyapunov exponents as well as the corresponding Oseledec decomposition of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}$. This, combined with the two identities in (19) and equalities (17)-(18) implies the desired conclusions of the theorem. □

References

[1] Berndtsson, Bo; Sibony, Nessim. The $\overline{\partial}$-equation on a positive current. *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), no. 2, 371-428.

[2] Brunella, Marco. Inexistence of invariant measures for generic rational differential equations in the complex domain, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3),* **12** (2006), no. 1, 4349.

[3] Candel, Alberto. Uniformization of surface laminations, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4), **26** (1993), no. 4, 489-516.

[4] ——. The harmonic measures of Lucy Garnett, *Adv. Math.*, **176** (2003), no. 2, 187-247.

[5] Candel, Alberto; Conlon, Lawrence. Foliations. I. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **23**. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI,* 2000. xiv+402 pp.

[6] ——. Foliations. II. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **60**. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI,* 2003. xiv+545 pp.

[7] Candel, A.; Gómez-Mont, X. Uniformization of the leaves of a rational vector field. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **45** (1995), no. 4, 1123-1133.

[8] Chavel, Isaac. Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry. Including a chapter by Burton Randol. With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk. Pure and Applied Mathematics, **115**. *Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.* xiv+362 pp.

[9] Cheeger, Jeff; Gromov, Mikhail; Taylor, Michael. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), no. 1, 15-53.

[10] Deroin, Bertrand. Hypersurfaces Levi-plates immergées dans les surfaces complexes de courbure positive. (French) [Immersed Levi-flat hypersurfaces in complex surfaces of positive curvature]. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **38** (2005), no. 1, 57-75.

[11] Dinh, T.-C.; Nguyên, V.-A.; Sibony, N. Heat equation and ergodic theorems for Riemann surface laminations. *Math. Ann.* **354**, (2012), no. 1, 331-376.

[12] ——. Entropy for hyperbolic Riemann surface laminations I. *Frontiers in Complex Dynamics: a volume in honor of John Milnor's 80th birthday,* (A. Bonifant, M. Lyubich, S. Sutherland, editors), (2012), Princeton University Press, 24 pages.

[13] ——. Entropy for hyperbolic Riemann surface laminations II. *Frontiers in Complex Dynamics: a volume in honor of John Milnor's 80th birthday,* (A. Bonifant, M. Lyubich, S. Sutherland, editors), (2012), Princeton University Press, 29 pages.

[14] Fornæss, J.-E.; Sibony, N. Harmonic currents of finite energy and laminations. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **15** (2005), no. 5, 962-1003.

[15] ——. Riemann surface laminations with singularities. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **18** (2008), no. 2, 400-442.

[16] ——. Unique ergodicity of harmonic currents on singular foliations of $\mathbb{P}^2$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **19** (2010), no. 5, 1334-1377.

[17] Garnett, Lucy. Foliations, the ergodic theorem and Brownian motion. *J. Funct. Anal.* **51** (1983), no. 3, 285-311.

[18] Glutsyuk, A.A. Hyperbolicity of the leaves of a generic one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on a nonsingular projective algebraic variety. (Russian) *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, **213** (1997), Differ. Uravn. s Veshchestv. i Kompleks. Vrem., 90-111; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 1996, no. 2, **213**, 83-103.

[19] Ghys, Étienne. Laminations par surfaces de Riemann. (French) [Laminations by Riemann surfaces] *Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997),* ix, xi, 49-95, Panor. Synthèses, **8**, *Soc. Math. France, Paris,* 1999.

[20] Hurder, Steven. Classifying foliations. *Foliations, geometry, and topology,* 1-65, Contemp. Math., **498**, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI,* 2009.

[21] Katok, Anatole; Hasselblatt, Boris. Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **54**. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,* 1995. xviii+802 pp.

[22] Lins Neto A. Uniformization and the Poincaré metric on the leaves of a foliation by curves. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.),* **31** (2000), no. 3, 351-366.

[23] Nguyên, V.-A. Oseledec multiplicative ergodic theorem for laminations. *ArXiv:1403.,* 146 pages.

[24] Nguyên, V.-A. Geometric characterization of Lyapunov exponents for Riemann surface foliations. *in preparation.*

[25] Lins Neto, A.; Soares M. G. Algebraic solutions of one-dimensional foliations. *J. Differential Geom.,* **43** (1996), no. 3, 652-673.

[26] Oseledec, V.-I. A multiplicative ergodic theorem. Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.,* **19** (1968), 197-221.

[27] Pesin, Ja. B. Characteristic Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory. *Russian Math. Surveys,* **32**, (1977), 55-114.

[28] Sullivan, Dennis. Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **36** (1976), 225-255.

[29] Walczak, Pawel. Dynamics of foliations, groups and pseudogroups. Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Monografie Matematyczne (New Series) [Mathematics Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematical Monographs (New Series)], **64**. *Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel,* 2004. xii+225 pp.

Viêt-Anh Nguyên, Mathématique-Bâtiment 425, UMR 8628, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France.
VietAnh.Nguyen@math.u-psud.fr, http://www.math.u-psud.fr/∼vietanh